

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/566,946	KIM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	FRANCIS M. LEGASSE JR	2878	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

(1) FRANCIS M. LEGASSE JR.

(3) _____.

(2) Attorney Leon Radomsky.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 July 2008
Time: 5:45 pm
Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

72 and 74

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner contacted Attorney Radomsky to discuss an examiner's amendment to claim 74. The examiner suggested to Attorney Radomsky to place the newly added limitation of claim 72 into claim 74. By adding the new limitation to claim 74 the case would be in a condition for allowance. Attorney Radomsky conferred with his client and he accepted the examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Assigner/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

